

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

DANIEL SANDERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAM PACE, ET AL.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 6:19-CV-528-JDK-JDL

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff Daniel Sanders, an inmate proceeding *pro se*, filed the above-styled and numbered civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On January 3, 2020, Judge Love issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 11), recommending that Defendants Collier, Davis, and Putnam be dismissed from the lawsuit because Plaintiff's amended complaint did not raise claims against them. *Id.* at 2. A return receipt indicating delivery to Plaintiff was received by the Clerk on January 16, 2020. Docket No. 14.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge *de novo* only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a *de novo* review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they


are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 11) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 11) be **ADOPTED**. It is further

ORDERED that the claims against the Defendants Bryan Collier, Lorie Davis, and K. Putnam are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. These Defendants are **DISMISSED** as parties to the lawsuit. The dismissal of these claims and parties shall have no effect upon the remaining claims and parties in the lawsuit.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **16th** day of **March, 2020**.



JEREMY D. KERNODLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE